

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,425	07/06/2001	Hongjiang Wu	ISPH-0522	7138
34138 75	590 10/10/2003		EXAMINER	
COZEN O'CONNOR, P.C.			MCGARRY, SEAN	
1900 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3508			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1635	
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/900,425	WU ET AL.				
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sean R McGarry	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amounth the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
3. ☑ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4 and 19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 and new claim 53 introduce a new limitation (SEQ ID NO:37) not specifically searched, for example. The amendment to claim 3, for example, raises new consideration since it would no longer be limited to contain a sequence SEQ ID NO: 2 but would only require that the polypeptide contain an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 (i.e. a portion), for example.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): If entered the written descrition rejection over claims 1, 2, 4 and 19 would be withdrawn, but would then be made over claim 3. Any new art rejections over claims 1 and 53 would need to be determined via a new search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it argues the claims as amended and since these claim amenments have not been entered the arguments are moot.

Continuation of 10. Other: An initialed copy of the form 1449, filed 8/10/01 and supplied again 8/22/03 with a copy of reference AD is attached with AD now initialed and considered.

SEAN MCGARRY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
1635